

SOFFER Election Day 1960

Dear Josh;

I've noted some errors in the manuscript which I missed in proof reading.

- ① Page 1, line 18 - "function units" should be replaced by "functional units".
- ② Page 2, equation 2. "ADPG" should be replaced by UDPG.
- ③ Page 4, line 11. a "nutrient agar" should be replaced by "a nutrient agar slant".
- ④ Page 5, line 18. "containing" should be replaced by "modified to contain."
- ⑤ Page 6, line 15. "glycine (1M), 0.20 ml" should be replaced by "glycine (1M), 0.12 ml"
- ⑥ Page 7, line 18. "glycine (1M) 0.12 ml should be replaced by glycine (1M), 0.06 ml.
- ⑦ Page 8, line 15. "0.02 mg" should be replaced by "0.02 mg protein".
- ⑧ Page 16, reference #25. "Tukasawa" should be replaced by "FUKASAWA".
- ⑨ Throughout: "UDP Gal" should be replaced by "UDPGal".
- ⑩ Page 11, line 7. After "suggest that" insert "with respect to mutants accumulating Gal-1-P".
- ⑪ Page 5, line 15. Change "see discussion" to "see results".

all fixed  
Gal 21 //

I neglected to mention that Gal 21 is listed as a transferase defective in the London Symposium whereas I found it to be rich in this enzyme but defective for the kinase. I do not feel that this is a disagreement with Kakkar but rather a question of identity. The

mutant which I assayed and checked was 4600.

Please forgive my inadequacies as a proof reader.  
I know the manuscript almost verbatim and constantly find  
myself skipping it and missing errors.

Dick